## Calder v. Bull

Published on Natural Law, Natural Rights, and American Constitutionalism (https://nlnrac.org)

primarysourcedocument

## Calder v. Bull

## Calder v. Bull

Calder v. Bull (Abridged)

By The Supreme Court of the United States of America

1798

[The Supreme Court of the United States of America. *Calder et Ux. v. Bull et Ux.* 1798. <u>3 U.S. 3 Dall. 386 (August Term, 1798)</u>. In the Public Domain.]

Chase, Justice:
The counsel for the plaintiffs in error contend, that the said resolution or law of the legislature of Connecticut is an <i>ex post facto</i> law, prohibited by the constitution of the United States
The sole inquiry is, whether this resolution or law of Connecticut is an <i>ex post facto</i> law, within the prohibition of the federal constitution?
I cannot subscribe to the omnipotence of a state legislature, or that it is absolute and without

control; although its authority should not be expressly restrained by the constitution, or fundamental law of the state. The people of the United States erected their constitutions or forms of government, to establish justice, to promote the general welfare, to secure the blessings of liberty, and to protect their persons and property from violence. The purposes for which men enter into society will determine the nature and terms of the social compact; and as they are the foundation of the legislative power, they will decide what are the proper objects of it. The nature, and ends of legislative power will limit the exercise of it. This fundamental principle flows from the very nature of our free republican governments, that no man should be compelled to do what the laws do not require; nor to refrain from acts which the

laws permit. There are acts which the federal, or state legislature cannot do, without exceeding their authority. There are certain vital principles in our free republican governments, which will determine and overrule an apparent and flagrant abuse of legislative power; as to authorize manifest injustice by positive law; or to take away that security for personal liberty, or private property, for the protection whereof the government was established. An act of the legislature (for I cannot call it a law), contrary to the great first principles of the social compact, cannot be considered a righful exercise of legislative authority. The obligation of a law, in governments established on express compact, and on republican principles, must be determined by the nature of the power on which it is founded.

A few instances will suffice to explain what I mean. A law that punished a citizen for an innocent action, or, in other words, for an act, which, when done, was in violation of no existing law; a law that destroys or impairs the lawful private contracts of citizens; a law that makes a man a judge in his own cause; or a law that takes property from A. and gives it to B.: it is against all reason and justice, for a people to intrust a legislature with such powers; and therefore, it cannot be presumed that they have done it. The genius, the nature and the spirit of our state governments, amount to a prohibition of such acts of legislation; and the general principles of law and reason forbid them. The legislature may enjoin, permit, forbid and punish; they may declare new crimes; and establish rules of conduct for all its citizens in future cases; they may command what is right, and prohibit what is wrong; but they cannot change innocence into guilt; or punish innocence as a crime; or violate the right of an antecedent lawful private contract; or the right of private property. To maintain that our federal, or state legislature possesses such powers, if they had not been expressly restrained; would, in my opinion, be a political heresy, altogether inadmissible in our free republican governments.

All the restrictions contained in the constitution of the United States on the power of the state legislatures, were provided in favor of the authority of the federal government. The prohibition against their making any ex post facto laws was introduced for greater caution, and very probably arose from the knowledge, that the parliament of Great Britain claimed and exercised a power to pass such laws, under the denomination of bills of attainder, or bills of pains and penalties; the first inflicting capital, and the other less punishment. These acts were legislative judgments; and an exercise of judicial power. Sometimes, they respected the crime, by declaring acts to be treason, which were not treason, when committed; at other times, they violated the rules of evidence (to supply a deficiency of legal proof) by admitting one witness, when the existing law required two; by receiving evidence without oath; or the oath of the wife against the husband; or other testimony, which the courts of justice would not admit; at other times, they inflicted punishments, where the party was not, by law, liable to any punishment; and in other cases, they inflicted greater punishment, than the law annexed to the offence. The ground for the exercise of such legislative power was this, that the safety of the kingdom depended on the death, or other punishment, of the offender: as if traitors, when discovered, could be so formidable, or the government so insecure! With very few exceptions, the advocates of such laws were stimulated by ambition, or personal resentment and vindictive malice. To prevent such and similar acts of violence and injustice, I believe, the federal and state legislatures were prohibited from passing any bill of attainder, or any ex post facto law.

. . .

Return to top of page.

**Original Author Sort:** US Supreme Court

Publication Date: 11798.08.08

**Topic:** Natural Law and Natural Rights in the American Constitutional Tradition

**Subtopic:** The U.S. Supreme Court and Natural Law

## Calder v. Bull

Published on Natural Law, Natural Rights, and American Constitutionalism (https://nlnrac.org)

**Source URL:** <a href="https://nlnrac.org/american/u.s.-supreme-court/documents/calder-v-bull">https://nlnrac.org/american/u.s.-supreme-court/documents/calder-v-bull</a>